

# TLR agonists for cancer immunotherapy: tipping the balance between the immune stimulatory and inhibitory effects

Hailing Lu\*

Tumor Vaccine Group, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

\*Correspondence: [hlu@uw.edu](mailto:hlu@uw.edu)

Edited and reviewed by:

Christophe M. Filippi, Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation, USA

**Keywords:** TLR, cancer immunotherapy, IL-10, Treg, PD-L1

The agonists of toll-like receptors (TLRs) have been actively pursued for their anti-tumor potentials, either as monotherapy or as adjuvants to vaccination or other therapeutic modalities (1). A search on ClinicalTrials.gov using the key words “TLR” and “cancer” returned 34 listings. The idea of using TLR agonist to provide a “danger signal” and break tolerance to tumor antigens has been well embraced by tumor immunologists. However, the promise of TLR agonists-based immunotherapy remains to be realized in the clinic, and only very few TLR agonists have been approved by the FDA. For example, bacillus Calmette–Guerin (BCG) and imiquimod have been approved as stand-alone therapies, whereas monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) was approved as a vaccine component. A review of recently published literature on the use of TLR agonists in cancer setting revealed a common mechanism that might have explained the underperformance of TLR agonists as cancer therapeutics: induction of immune suppressive factors that put a break on the TLR agonists-induced inflammation. As shown in **Figure 1**, TLR agonists have immune stimulatory effects through the induction of costimulatory molecules (CD80, CD86, and CD40) on dendritic cells (DCs) and inflammatory cytokines (TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-12) that polarize Th1 immune response. On the other hand, TLR agonists have immune inhibitory effects as evidenced by the induction of several immune suppressive factors, including IL-10, T regulatory cells (Treg), and PD-L1, all of which could dampen anti-tumor immunity. The following is a brief summary on TLR agonists-induced self-regulatory feedback and the indication for cancer immunotherapy.


## INDUCTION OF IL-10

IL-10 is an immune suppressive cytokine that inhibits the activity of Th1 cells, thus impeding viral clearance and anti-tumor Th1 immunity. IL-10 could be secreted by different immune cells, including Treg (2), CD4 T cells (3, 4), monocytes, and macrophages (5). The induction of IL-10 by TLR agonists has been demonstrated in infectious disease setting as well as tumor setting. For example, TLR4 signaling with LPS was shown to activate innate IL-10 production in response to *Bordetella pertussis*, which both directly, and by promoting the induction of IL-10-secreting type 1 regulatory T cells (Tr1), inhibit Th1 responses and limit inflammatory pathology in the lungs during infection with *B. pertussis* (6). TLR2 ligation and induction of IL-10 were also shown to suppress immunity against *Candida albicans* (7). Induction of IL-10 also caused the persistence of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV), and IL-10 blockade using a neutralizing antibody can restore T cell immunity and lead to viral clearance (3). IL-10 induction by TLR agonists has been observed in mouse models of breast cancer and melanoma. In MMTV/neu-transgenic mice, a model of human HER2<sup>+</sup> breast cancer, topical treatment with TLR7 agonist imiquimod induced IL-10, and the major source of IL-10 was Tr1 cells (4). IL-10 induction was also observed in a mouse model of implanted TSA breast cancer, where topical imiquimod was shown to synergize with radiation and low-dose cyclophosphamide in inhibiting tumor growth (8). In a mouse model of B16 melanoma, the induction of IL-10 has also been shown to limit the anti-tumor effects of TLR2 agonist Pam2 lipopeptide (9). Altogether these

publications suggest IL-10 induction is probably a common regulatory mechanism that dampens TLR agonists-induced anti-tumor immunity. IL-10 blockade using anti-IL-10 neutralizing mAb significantly enhanced the anti-tumor effects of topical imiquimod (4). The addition of anti-IL-10R to TLR9 agonist CpG also exhibited robust anti-tumor activity exceeding by far that of CpG alone, and elicited anti-tumor immune memory (10). Thus IL-10 blockade holds promise to augment the anti-tumor effects of TLR agonists.

## INDUCTION OF Treg

Although some early studies have reported that TLR agonists could inhibit the function or number of Treg (11), more recent studies have demonstrated that TLR agonists can increase Treg number and function. For example, TLR2 ligation has been shown to promote the survival of Treg (12). Treatment of prediabetic mice with a synthetic TLR2 agonist diminished type 1 diabetes and increased the number and function of Treg, also conferring DCs with tolerogenic properties (13). TLR2 agonist Pam3Cys was also shown to induce Treg expansion in the lungs and result in long-term protection against manifestation of allergic asthma in mice (14). Human plasmacytoid DC activated by TLR9 agonist CpG has been shown to induce the generation of Treg (15). Another study reported that TLR agonists-stimulated allogeneic pDCs induces CD8<sup>+</sup> Tregs that inhibit allogeneic T cell responses, including memory T cells (16). Studies from our group demonstrated that TLR7 agonist imiquimod induces Tregs, either as monotherapy or as an adjuvant to vaccination (4, 17). Treg was induced in both



the periphery and the tumor microenvironment (4). Another study showed that imiquimod enhanced the suppressor function of Treg cells by sensitizing Treg cells to IL-2-induced activation (18). A study on transcutaneous vaccination using imiquimod as adjuvant showed that Treg and IL-10 act independently to counter-regulate the cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) response induced by vaccination (19). When TLR9 agonist CpG was used as adjuvant to protein vaccination, antigen-specific Treg was induced (20). The ability of CpG to induce Treg has been shown to be mediated by p38 MAPK and inhibition of p38 in DC was shown to attenuate Treg induction by TLR agonists and enhance their efficacy as vaccine adjuvants and cancer immunotherapeutics (21). Thus inhibiting Treg induction represents another opportunity to augment the anti-tumor effects of TLR agonists.

### INDUCTION OF PD-L1

PD-L1 (also known as B7-H1 or CD274) is a B7-related protein that inhibits T cell activation via engaging the programmed death-1

(PD-1) receptor that is expressed on activated T cells. PD-L1 can be expressed on tumor cells as well as hematopoietic cells. The induction of PD-L1 by TLR ligation has been reported for different TLR agonists, in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. For example, TLR7/8 agonist resiquimod and TLR4 agonist LPS have been shown to induce PD-L1 on DC and contribute to the development of tolerogenic APCs (22). TLR4 ligation by MPL was also shown to enforce the tolerogenic properties of oral mucosal Langerhans cells (23). Topical imiquimod was shown to induce PD-L1 as well as CD86 in different subsets of skin DC (24). Another study showed that TLR4 agonist LPS and TLR7/8 agonist CL097 induced PD-L1 expression on macrophages and the induction appeared to be dependent on IL-10 (25). Induction of PD-L1 has been linked to the lack of protective immunity to bacteria (26). In mouse tumor models, the induction of PD-L1 has also been shown to be an important mechanism that limits the anti-tumor efficacy of TLR agonists (27, 28). The TLR3 agonist poly I:C up-regulated PD-L1 on

DC, and depletion or blockade of PD-L1 on activated DCs increased the magnitude of effector CD8 T cell expansion (28). PD-L1 also collaborates with Treg to impair the recall responses of tumor-specific memory T cells (27). Combination of PD-L1 blockade, CD4 T cell depletion, and tumor cell vaccination resulted in complete regression of large established RENCA tumors and established long-lasting protective immunity (27). Thus, blocking PD-1/PD-L1 signaling represents another opportunity to augment the anti-tumor effects of TLR agonists.

It should be noted that the list above is not exhaustive. Other immune suppressive factors such as TGF- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), mostly notably secreted from Treg, could also be induced after TLR agonist treatment, especially with agonists of TLR2 and TLR4 (13, 29). It should also be noted that the various immune suppressive factors listed above don't function separately but in an interactive manner. For example, induction of PD-L1 is crucial to the induction of Treg (30), and the induction of PD-L1 has been shown to be dependent on IL-10 (25). TLR ligation could induce these different suppressive factors simultaneously, and blocking one factor may decrease the other factors as well.

In summary, the immune responses are highly controlled. Once a T cell response is initiated, it needs to be dampened to prevent collateral damage. TLR ligation not only initiates immune response, but also triggers negative regulatory pathways. The above discussion about TLRs is equally true for the normal existent immune response to cancer as well as cancer vaccine-induced response. The induction of these regulatory pathways represents a major obstacle in developing TLR agonists as cancer immunotherapeutics, and the promise of using TLR agonists to eradicate tumor cells probably won't be realized unless we block the negative regulators (IL-10, Treg, and PD-L1, etc) and tip the balance toward an overwhelming pro-inflammatory response. Cancer immunologists are now exploring novel combinational therapies that combine TLR ligation with blockade of the negative regulators. For example, the cancer immunotherapy trial network (CITN) has considered a pilot clinical trial testing the combination of topical imiquimod and IL-10 blockade

in breast cancer patients with chest wall metastasis (per communication with Dr. Martin Cheever, CITN director). Unfortunately this idea did not move forward due to the unavailability of anti-human IL-10 mAb. Currently a clinical trial combining topical imiquimod and PD-1/PD-L1 blockade for treating breast cancer cutaneous metastasis is also being planned in the University of Washington.

The early data from clinical trials of anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 are harbingers of a radical change in immunotherapy as well as cancer therapy in general. Based in large part on data from the check-point inhibitor trials, it's been predicted that cancer immunotherapy will provide the backbone of up to 60% of cancer therapy within the next decade. There are at least seven companies competing in the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 space. The agents will be tested with every conceivable combination. Combinations with immunotherapies that augment existent immune responses or vaccine-induced immune responses, such as TLR therapies will be tested soon with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 and are highly likely to be more effective in that context. Not only will the blockade of PD-L1 signaling likely enhance the immune stimulatory effects of TLR agonists, but we also expect TLR ligation to enhance the effect of PD-1/PD-L1 blockade by increasing tumor infiltrating immune cells (TIL). The presence of TIL has been associated with good clinical response in the check-point blockade therapy. In addition to anti-PD-1/PD-L1, there will be other check-point inhibitors that will also become available for testing with TLR agonists. The world of cancer immunotherapy is on a predictable course to become a major component of cancer therapy and TLR agonists will likely play an important role.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Dr. Martin Cheever for critical review of the manuscript and for very insightful input.

## REFERENCES

- Vaccelli E, Eggermont A, Sautes-Fridman C, Galon J, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G, et al. Trial watch: toll-like receptor agonists for cancer therapy. *Oncotarget* (2013) 2(8):e25238. doi:10.4161/onci.25238
- Zhang X, Koldzic DN, Izikson L, Reddy J, Nazareno RF, Sakaguchi S, et al. IL-10 is involved in the suppression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells. *Int Immunol* (2004) 16(2):249–56. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxh029
- Brooks DG, Trifilo MJ, Edelmann KH, Teyton L, McGavern DB, Oldstone MB. Interleukin-10 determines viral clearance or persistence in vivo. *Nat Med* (2006) 12(11):1301–9. doi:10.1038/nm1492
- Lu H, Wagner WM, Gad E, Yang Y, Duan H, Amon LM, et al. Treatment failure of a TLR-7 agonist occurs due to self-regulation of acute inflammation and can be overcome by IL-10 blockade. *J Immunol* (2010) 184(9):5360–7. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0902997
- Frodermann V, Chau TA, Sayedyahosseini S, Toth JM, Heinrichs DE, Madrenas J. A modulatory interleukin-10 response to staphylococcal peptidoglycan prevents Th1/Th17 adaptive immunity to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Infect Dis* (2011) 204(2):253–62. doi:10.1093/infdis/jir276
- Higgins SC, Lavelle EC, McCann C, Keogh B, McNeela E, Byrne P, et al. Toll-like receptor 4-mediated innate IL-10 activates antigen-specific regulatory T cells and confers resistance to *Bordetella pertussis* by inhibiting inflammatory pathology. *J Immunol* (2003) 171(6):3119–27.
- Netea MG, Suttmuller R, Hermann C, Van der Graaf CA, Van der Meer JW, van Krieken JH, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 suppresses immunity against *Candida albicans* through induction of IL-10 and regulatory T cells. *J Immunol* (2004) 172(6):3712–8.
- Dewan MZ, Vanpouille-Box C, Kawashima N, DiNapoli S, Babb JS, Formenti SC, et al. Synergy of topical toll-like receptor 7 agonist with radiation and low-dose cyclophosphamide in a mouse model of cutaneous breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(24):6668–78. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-0984
- Yamazaki S, Okada K, Maruyama A, Matsumoto M, Yagita H, Seya T. TLR2-dependent induction of IL-10 and Foxp3+ CD25+ CD4+ regulatory T cells prevents effective anti-tumor immunity induced by Pam2 lipopeptides in vivo. *PLoS One* (2011) 6(4):e18833. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018833
- Vicari AP, Chiodoni C, Vaure C, Ait-Yahia S, Dercamp C, Matsos F, et al. Reversal of tumor-induced dendritic cell paralysis by CpG immunostimulatory oligonucleotide and anti-interleukin 10 receptor antibody. *J Exp Med* (2002) 196(4):541–9. doi:10.1084/jem.20020732
- Peng G, Guo Z, Kiniwa Y, Voo KS, Peng W, Fu T, et al. Toll-like receptor 8-mediated reversal of CD4+ regulatory T cell function. *Science* (2005) 309(5739):1380–4. doi:10.1126/science.1113401
- Chen Q, Davidson TS, Huter EN, Shevach EM. Engagement of TLR2 does not reverse the suppressor function of mouse regulatory T cells, but promotes their survival. *J Immunol* (2009) 183(7):4458–66. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0901465
- Filippi CM, Ehrhardt K, Estes EA, Larsson P, Oldham JE, von Herrath MG. TLR2 signaling improves immunoregulation to prevent type 1 diabetes. *Eur J Immunol* (2011) 41(5):1399–409. doi:10.1002/eji.200939841
- Nawijn MC, Motta AC, Gras R, Shirinbak S, Maazi H, van Oosterhout AJ. TLR-2 activation induces regulatory T cells and long-term suppression of asthma manifestations in mice. *PLoS One* (2013) 8(2):e55307. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055307
- Moseman EA, Liang X, Dawson AJ, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Krieg AM, Liu YJ, et al. Human plasmacytoid dendritic cells activated by CpG oligodeoxynucleotides induce the generation of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J Immunol* (2004) 173(7):4433–42.
- Boor PP, Metselaar HJ, Jonge S, Mancham S, van der Laan LJ, Kwekkeboom J. Human plasmacytoid dendritic cells induce CD8(+) LAG-3(+) Foxp3(+) CTLA-4(+) regulatory T cells that suppress alloreactive memory T cells. *Eur J Immunol* (2011) 41(6):1663–74. doi:10.1002/eji.201041229
- Dang Y, Wagner WM, Gad E, Rastetter L, Berger CM, Holt GE, et al. Dendritic cell-activating vaccine adjuvants differ in the ability to elicit antitumor immunity due to an adjuvant-specific induction of immunosuppressive cells. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(11):3122–31. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-0113
- Forward NA, Furlong SJ, Yang Y, Lin TJ, Hoskin DW. Signaling through TLR7 enhances the immunosuppressive activity of murine CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. *J Leukoc Biol* (2009) 87(1):117–25. doi:10.1189/jlb.0908559
- Stein P, Weber M, Pruffer S, Schmid B, Schmitt E, Probst HC, et al. Regulatory T cells and IL-10 independently counterregulate cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses induced by transcutaneous immunization. *PLoS One* (2011) 6(11):e27911. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027911
- Ghoreishi M, Dutz JP. Tolerance induction by transcutaneous immunization through ultraviolet-irradiated skin is transferable through CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells and is dependent on host-derived IL-10. *J Immunol* (2006) 176(4):2635–44.
- Jarnicki AG, Conroy H, Brereton C, Donnelly G, Toomey D, Walsh K, et al. Attenuating regulatory T cell induction by TLR agonists through inhibition of p38 MAPK signaling in dendritic cells enhances their efficacy as vaccine adjuvants and cancer immunotherapeutics. *J Immunol* (2008) 180(6):3797–806.
- Wolfe SJ, Strebovsky J, Bartz H, Sahr A, Arnold C, Kaiser C, et al. PD-L1 expression on tolerogenic APCs is controlled by STAT-3. *Eur J Immunol* (2011) 41(2):413–24. doi:10.1002/eji.201040979
- Allam JP, Peng WM, Appel T, Wenghoefer M, Niederhagen B, Bieber T, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 ligation enforces tolerogenic properties of oral mucosal Langerhans cells. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2008) 121(2):368–374e1. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2007.09.045
- Hackstein H, Hagel N, Knoche A, Kranz S, Lohmeyer J, von Wulffen W, et al. Skin TLR7 triggering promotes accumulation of respiratory dendritic cells and natural killer cells. *PLoS One* (2012) 7(8):e43320. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043320
- Rodriguez-Garcia M, Porichis F, de Jong OG, Levi K, Diefenbach TJ, Lifson JD, et al. Expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2 on human macrophages is up-regulated by HIV-1 and differentially modulated by IL-10. *J Leukoc Biol* (2011) 89(4):507–15. doi:10.1189/jlb.0610327
- Wang J, Roderiquez G, Norcross MA. Control of adaptive immune responses by *Staphylococcus*

- aureus* through IL-10, PD-L1, and TLR2. *Sci Rep* (2012) **2**:606. doi:10.1038/srep00606
27. Webster WS, Thompson RH, Harris KJ, Frigola X, Kuntz S, Inman BA, et al. Targeting molecular and cellular inhibitory mechanisms for improvement of antitumor memory responses reactivated by tumor cell vaccine. *J Immunol* (2007) **179**(5):2860–9.
  28. Pulko V, Liu X, Krco CJ, Harris KJ, Frigola X, Kwon ED, et al. TLR3-stimulated dendritic cells up-regulate B7-H1 expression and influence the magnitude of CD8 T cell responses to tumor vaccination. *J Immunol* (2009) **183**(6):3634–41. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0900974
  29. Karumuthil-Melethil S, Perez N, Li R, Vasu C. Induction of innate immune response through TLR2 and dectin 1 prevents type 1 diabetes. *J Immunol* (2008) **181**(12):8323–34.
  30. Fukaya T, Takagi H, Sato Y, Sato K, Eizumi K, Taya H, et al. Crucial roles of B7-H1 and B7-DC expressed on mesenteric lymph node dendritic cells in the generation of antigen-specific CD4+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in the establishment of oral tolerance. *Blood* (2010) **116**(13):2266–76. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-10-250472

Received: 04 December 2013; accepted: 17 February 2014; published online: 03 March 2014.

Citation: Lu H (2014) TLR agonists for cancer immunotherapy: tipping the balance between the immune stimulatory and inhibitory effects. *Front. Immunol.* **5**:83. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00083

This article was submitted to *Immunological Tolerance*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Immunology*. Copyright © 2014 Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.